



Project Name : Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln

Submission Summary

15 May 2025 - 12 June 2025

Report generated on 19 June 2025

Project Overview

The City of Port Lincoln sought community feedback on a proposal to revoke the community land classification of 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln, to enable the potential development of residential housing.

Reasons for Revocation Proposal

The City of Port Lincoln's Housing Strategy 2024-2029 identifies a significant shortage of housing across the region, particularly in Port Lincoln. This shortage is evident in both the rental and residential markets and is recognised as a major community issue. One of the Strategy's key actions is to consider the use of Council-owned land for residential development.

10 Oswald Drive has been identified as potentially surplus to community needs in its current form and is considered to offer greater benefit to the community if sold and developed for residential housing. The site is currently underutilised, has no community function, and is not considered to be suitable for redevelopment into a reserve due to its limited road frontage and topography.

Ongoing site maintenance, including fire prevention and pest control, incurs regular costs to Council without community benefit.

Revoking the community land classification would allow Council to sell the land through a competitive market process to secure the best price in accordance with Council's Disposal of Land and Assets Policy. Proceeds from the sale would be allocated to the Land and Building Reserve, to be reinvested into community assets and infrastructure, as approved by the Council.

Other considerations

- There are no registered interests noted on the Certificate of Title (Annexure D)
- There is no record of any assistance having been provided by the Government to the Council at the time the Council acquired this land or otherwise in relation to the land.
- 10 Oswald Drive is subject to a reservation (it is a reserve) that can be lifted through the revocation process pursuant to section 195(1) of the Local Government Act 1999.

Community Impact

The proposed revocation is not expected to have a significant impact on the local community or neighbouring properties. Redirecting funds currently spent on maintaining the site into higher-use community assets would provide broader community benefit.

Council is consulting with the community to help inform its decision. If the proposal proceeds, the land's reserve status would be revoked under Section 195(1) of the Local Government Act 1999, allowing for future residential development.

A detailed Proposal for the Revocation of the Classification of land as Community Land Statutory Report, including Annexures A-E, is available to view in the Key Documents section on the right. We've also prepared a reader-friendly version, which includes a Q and A Sheet at the back. Both versions cover all five Council-owned parcels currently under consideration. Hardcopies were also available at the Council Office and Port Lincoln Library during the public consultation period.

Proposal for Revocation of Community Land – 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln

Number of Submissions : 65

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
1	10 Oswald	Please find attached	Refer Attachment 1
	10 oswald	To the Port Lincoln Council, I am an adjoining landowner to 10 Oswald. I bought my house 13 years ago. It was built in 1985 (by [REDACTED]) It is built into the side of the very steeply sloping hill, on two levels, with a steep driveway, a car garage under the house and many steps to get up to the front door. At the back of the house there is a 10 foot high retaining wall to stop the soil, etc. from the neighbours house garden behind from landslides down. I can access 10 Oswald from the back corner of my back yard. Having this (very pretty) and quite private vacant block of land with private access was the main reason I bought this house. It was a deciding factor. I grew up in a very similar build house in Adelaide with vacant land on both sides and behind the house. Many hours of happiness were spent playing in the trees, making cubby houses and scrambling around in the scrub, discovering nature and having imaginary games. Later I walked our dog there every day and spend time training her to come, and behave, off leash, away from the distraction of other dogs and people.	-

Report generated on 19 June 2025

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		10 Oswald is used every day, by myself, my family, our guests and extended family and also all of the surrounding neighbours. In the same way. To walk in, to enjoy nature, to walk the dog, for exercise, for fresh air, for space, for a safe, private, place for all or our children to play, away from traffic, strangers and enjoy nature and peace. Without having to further away to another park where they would need transport and supervision – as the land adjoins all of the many surrounding houses, most of which have direct access from their back yards. Most of the houses surrounding have no other access to the rear of their houses. Knowing that this block of land was set aside, never to be built on, has allowed the house designers to not have to include side vehicle access to their back yards. Any building works or emergency vehicle access to the rear of these houses can be accessed through 10 Oswald. This includes fire trucks. In the event of a house (or garden) fire, I don't know how a fire truck would be able to get access to a fire from any other place, especially if the fire was in the back of the house or the back yard. The council don't maintain, or spend any money on this block, aside from a couple hours of whipper snipping once a year. The neighbouring home owners do. They have planted many trees, some of which are decades old and are home to many birds and even the occasional koala! These trees act as a ground stabiliser on this rocky and extremely slopes land, and soak up and slow down stormwater run off from flooding the houses below. Building on this block, only, even one house, I believe would cause possible land slipping, definitely flooding, as the groundcover and trees on 10 Oswald are what is stopping this, by slowing down and absorbing heavy rainfall. There are huge granite rocks, all though this block, impossible to remove in some areas. In heavy rain events there is even a small waterfall flowing over and down these rocks that then goes through a deliberately planted water easement garden in the house below it, preventing flooding across the road and land slippage further down the slope. It seems to me it was a	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		sensible and careful decision made at the time of the subdivision planning, to choose this particular block to be set aside, never to be built on, as Mr Gobin said, it was a legal planning requirement at the time to set aside a percentage of community land, never to be built on, and as this land is obviously, extremely unsuitable for a building, it seems the perfect choice, and all the surrounding houses were designed and built (and still are being built) with the understanding that this block will be left vacant. This sudden, and seemingly very strange, decision by council to ask to change the law to allow this land to be sold and built on, has deeply upset all of the surrounding landowners and residents. Many of who, have expressed their feelings to me. One said she actually felt sick to the stomach, another was crying, and several didn't want to tell their children at all, as they have such a strong attachment to being able to play there, they would be too devastated. Unfortunately the council have put a large solid sign up, so most of the neighbourhood children now know and are, of course, predictably devastated. There are so many concerns about this proposal that I haven't been able to address them all in this letter. I cannot find one single positive to say about it. It is just, really, extremely silly, and caused an enormous amount of unnecessary angst and upset, to a lot of very good kind people for no reason at all, except for, seemingly, the council wanting to let their ratepayers know, that they have 'the power over the people' to destroy their peace and happiness in living in their own homes, that they have worked hard for their whole lives and should be allowed to live in in peace.	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		I cannot stress enough to the council that this particular block of land should immediately be removed from this proposal- and council need to publicly apologise to the surrounding landowners and the children for upsetting them all so deeply. For myself, I don't even want to be a resident of this town any more, if that's how the local council is going to insult and belittle their ratepayers. Unfortunately for me though, if it goes ahead, the resale price of my house will be made less, so it will cost me more than I predicted to have to sell and move elsewhere. Not that the council, obviously will care, with this sort of disgusting decision making thrust down our throats. Sincerely sad,	
	10 oswald	Please read attached My say Re 10 oswald Thankyou	Refer Attachment 1 Same as above
2	Selling off council land	Hi, I am concerned about the council selling off land that has been previously donated to them, or land marked as reserves. I personally purchased my home at a significantly higher price than what the actual structure of the home would be worth, one massive factor was that there is a reserve adjacent to the property. I would think that this factor would have also been a consideration for all those in residential areas whose homes are near the planned reserves that are being considered to be sold off. How disappointing for these residence whom are presumably long term council rate payers and in some proposed areas they have been high contributors to the community.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		I sincerely hope the council takes a lot of consideration before proceeding. This beautiful town and culture is slowly turning into just another ordinary place, bring the children up with trees, ocean, parks, wildlife and space, not all needs to be a concrete jungle. Thank you for your time	
3	Revocation of Community Land- 10 Oswald Drive	I am a local resident and my land and house is adjacent to the reserve. My wife and I have been teaching at Port Lincoln High School and living in Port Lincoln for 30 years. We purchased the land, paying top dollar but fell in love with the view of the trees the beautiful aesthetics the reserve provides but most important outlaying this financial cost with the idea that it will stay a reserve. My Family (wife and 2 children) are distressed to find out that the council are attempting to sell the land with no consideration of the local residence. This land is a beautiful reserve with native fauna and flora that many residence enjoy and my children spent precious time playing in the reserve making cubby houses and swings. The turn out on the 15th May for consultation proved that NO ONE wants this to happen, so WE the community who pay top rates and use the reserve for walking dogs, local children play in the reserve and native fauna live there (ie. birds, lizards, koalas) would like the council to take 10 Oswald Drive off the table for revocation. Also this land was given to the council in good will from Lorry Gobins therefore selling this reserve jeopardisers the integrity of the council, so it is looking like a money grabbing situation and not looking after the welfare of the local residence.	Refer Attachment 2
	Objection to the Proposed Revocation	Dear Mayor and Councillors, I write to formally object to the proposed revocation of the community land classification for the reserve located at 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
	of Community Land Status – 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	As a member of the Port Lincoln community, I am deeply concerned about the irreversible impact this proposal may have on our neighbourhood's environment, amenity, and community character. I urge the Council to reconsider this course of action for the following reasons: 1. Loss of Unique Local Amenity The reserve at 10 Oswald Drive serves as a valued passive recreation and environmental space, particularly for nearby residents. Despite arguments about proximity to other reserves, no other space replicates the quiet, natural character of this reserve. 2. Environmental Protection Under State Law The site is subject to a Native Vegetation Overlay and falls under the Native Vegetation Act 1991 (SA). This imposes strong restrictions on clearance and development. Revoking community land status increases the risk of environmental degradation and undermines biodiversity conservation goals. 3. Procedural Fairness and Public Trust Community land is held in trust for public benefit. Revocation should only occur where land is demonstrably no longer needed for community purposes. The current public opposition suggests otherwise. The consultation process should not be a procedural formality but a genuine opportunity to influence outcomes. 4. Flawed Open Space Calculations The Council's claim of an open space oversupply is misleading. Excluding underdeveloped reserves and reducing land to a numbers game devalues the quality, equity, and accessibility of green spaces – especially in residential zones. 5. No Clear Link to Housing Outcomes	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		There is no evidence that revoking this small parcel of land will have a meaningful impact on housing supply. Development will be costly and constrained by topography and vegetation overlays. This is not an efficient or environmentally responsible way to meet housing targets. I respectfully request that Council rejects the proposal to revoke community land classification for 10 Oswald Drive and instead retains it as a vital local reserve.	
4	10 oswald drive Revocation of Community Land	adjacent landholder. the land was set aside as per a legal requirement not a choice and the land was chosen as it was of significant environmental/ community value as well as wasn't suitable to build. I walk my dog here everyday and have had property damage to the concrete slab of my house due to earthworks above the hill this will only make it worse. the council has no ethical or practical reason to revoke this land as there is no shortage of land in port lincoln. There has been no research about the land and its a half baked decision to steal community land and sell it for the councils profit. If the council really needed extra land for houses they would survey it see were is suitable and only sell that part not the whole entire greenspace. Mr goodwin was forced to give this land and the council should pay him all the profits if its sold. I hope he takes it to court but I don't think he will as its the council and he's a older man. I hope a Ombudsmen looks at what the port lincoln council is trying to do. Every property adjacent to 10 Oswald will lose access to the backside and lose 100k plus of property value and get no compensation. Yet we still pay some of the highest council rates in SA. If private developers want to develop land they will there's no shortage of land just housing, but the council isn't building houses are they. On top of that it won't save the council any money as they only pay for it to be whipper snipped once or twice a year. This is the same for all other sites suggested so please reconsider this whole idea.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
5	10 Oswald drive	This is nothing other than a money grab by the council, there will be significant impact on the property values of the surrounding properties and shows little regard to existing rate payers I strongly object to the proposal	-
6	Oswald Drive	I support Revocation of this land for the concept of residential housing as it has significant strategic benefit to the larger Port Lincoln community. There is a significant shortage of land for housing it is appropriate for Council to be considering the strategic needs of the wider community.	-
7	Community Spaces	I strongly object to the Council's proposal to revoke Community Land. It is not ok to do nothing with this land and then suggest it is of no Community value. All of these community spaces are important to the City and its people. Rather than seeking to sell them off Council should be looking to how their benefit can be maximised. I am no town planner but surely with some native trees, lawns (watered from the waste water system) and recreational facilities such as tables, chairs, bbq, nature play etc they can be extremely valuable to nearby residents. I also find this process of consultation frustrating in the extreme - really you want people to fill in a different form for each space. No doubt few will and you can tick the consultation box and move on. Come on Council - with climate change, increased housing density and an explosion of mental health issues people need places to connect to nature.	-
8	Oswald Drive opposition	I am in opposition of this sale. The sale of this land would allow a few wealthy investors to the use of this land and nothing for community. This land site could be a future old age home or	Refer Attachment 3

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		retirement place that would benefit a lot more people long term. Strongly oppose the sale of this land but would support redevelopment for community use in the future.	
9	Revocation of 10 Oswald Drive	Please see attached letter.	Refer Attachment 4
10	10 Oswald Drive	Dear City of Port Lincoln Council I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed revocation of community land classification at 10 Oswald Drive. As a resident living close to this reserve, I have seen first-hand the value it brings to our community. The area is notably hilly, and while other parks may appear “close by” on a map, in reality, they are not easily accessible on foot—especially for families with young children. This makes 10 Oswald Drive uniquely important as a safe, local, and usable green space. This reserve was a haven for my three daughters and their neighbourhood friends as they grew up. Tucked quietly behind surrounding homes, it provided a safe and natural environment for children to play independently—something increasingly rare in today’s towns. Children built cubby houses, explored nature, played hide and seek, exercised pets, and practised ball games—all without the dangers of traffic or the exposure of more public urban spaces. It offered exactly what good community planning should: a healthy, safe, and imaginative place for children to thrive. I also understand that this land was donated by Mr. Gobin years ago specifically to be used as a reserve for the township. To revoke its community land classification would not only go against the original intent of this gift, but also remove a cherished space that future generations of children could benefit from, just as mine did. Please do not take this opportunity away from our	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		children and grandchildren. I strongly urge the Council to preserve the community land status of 10 Oswald Drive and protect it as the natural, local playground it has always been.	
11	Oppose revocation of community land	Dear Council Members, I am writing to strongly oppose the sale of 10 Oswald Drive, a natural park that holds deep meaning not only for me personally, but for many families across our community. As a child growing up in this area, I spent countless hours in that park. It was a place of laughter, imagination, and connection. My neighbours and I would meet there after school and on weekends to play, explore, and enjoy being outdoors. It was a safe and welcoming space, just steps from our homes — something that felt rare and special even then and now. Today, the park continues to be used in the same way. Local children still play there regularly, and families enjoy the open space. I still visit myself, and it remains one of the few places nearby where I feel truly calm and connected to nature. I hope to one day bring my own children there and give them the same opportunity to grow up with access to a local, natural space. This park is more than just land — it's part of our community's identity. It offers something you can't build or replace: a sense of belonging, safety, and history. It's where people come to breathe, to think, to run, to sit. It's where generations have grown up side by side. **Parks like 10 Oswald Drive are especially vital for children.** In a natural park, children don't just play — they grow. They run, climb, explore, and let their imaginations run free. They learn about nature firsthand by watching birds, insects, and the changing seasons. It becomes a space where they can be physically active, socially connected, and mentally calm. The positive impact of this is profound. Time in nature improves children's mental health, reduces stress and anxiety, and enhances their focus and problem-solving abilities. It also nurtures creativity, independence, and empathy. Parks help children build friendships, learn cooperation, and gain a sense of community.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Importantly, it installs a respect for the environment that can last a lifetime. These are not luxuries. They are essential parts of a healthy upbringing — and they can't be replicated by concrete or new buildings. Selling 10 Oswald Drive would not just mean the loss of a park. It would mean tearing away one of the last green spaces where local people — especially young children — can gather freely and safely. Once it's gone, it's gone for good. We also cannot ignore the environmental importance of keeping green spaces intact. In a time where climate change is becoming an increasing threat, we should be protecting nature wherever we can — not removing it. Parks help clean our air, cool our streets, and provide a small but important home for wildlife. Every natural space matters. I urge you to listen to the community, to honour the memories that have been made here, and to protect 10 Oswald Drive for the future. Let it remain a place where generations to come can play, connect, and find peace — just as I have.	
12	Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	Dear Council of Port Lincoln: I understand the need for expansion - I do - and I am sensitive to the requirements of a growing city. However, I am going to make the same two points for all five submissions: 1. Once open spaces / green spaces are developed, there is no getting them back. They're gone. Port Lincoln is a lovely and desirable community in <i>*large part*</i> to its layout - a rolling city bordered by the sea with plenty of parks and open areas. Once these areas are developed, it will lose that magic. By all means - improve the green spaces. But if you develop them, they are lost forever and the city will lose much of its charm. 2. Port Lincoln is also blessed with space to sprawl. I've lived in many places that have natural borders. The community CAN'T expand and so discussions like these become much more fraught and weighted. However, Port Lincoln doesn't have that problem. There is plenty of space	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		outside of the town centre to add infrastructure and more residential housing. That is where you should be looking first - not to the green spaces. Thank you very much for reading my opinion and I hope you will take it under consideration.	
13	Revocation of Oswald Drive Reserve	██████████'s Submission Re-Revocation of Community Land at Harbourview Reserve, Seaview Park, Chapman Street, Oswald Drive and Trigg Street Reserve. I am formally objecting to the City of Port Lincoln's Revocation Proposal for the above community land on following grounds. .1 The Proposal for the Revocation lacks probity, as outlined in my Letter to the Editor published on 29th May 2025 in the Port Lincoln Times, does not comply with Council's values as it lacks integrity, including honesty and transparency. .2 The Council Employees who compiled the Proposal have breached Councils Employee Conduct Policy, (of which Councils management were too incompetent to review by February). .3 The Council' CEO's lack of due diligence, by allowing unsubstantiated spin to be relied on in the Proposal, will/has prevented ratepayers from making an informed submissions, plus prevented elected Councillors from making informed decisions. I believe that, in the interim the Revocation Proposal should be advertised as being withdrawn and, subject to being investigated (as per other legislation), all Council managers deemed to have breached councils policies (or failed to document their concerns) should have their employment terminated as they can no longer be seen as being trusted. This includes any employee who continues to breach council policies by failing to immediately terminate the above proposals and advertise their withdrawal.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
14	Oswald Drive	I think the selling of this reserve is completely against the intent use of the land. When people bought land around it they were told it was a reserve and would not be sold EVER.	-
15	Revocation of Community Land - Trigg Street Reserve	Oswald Drive	Refer Attachment 5
	Revocation of Community Land	Oswald Drive	Refer Attachment 5 as same.
16	reconsideration to revoke the community land classification of 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln,	I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed revocation of the community land classification for 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln, to allow for residential development. While I acknowledge the need to increase housing availability, I am not convinced that selling off public land—particularly in a higher-value area like Oswald Drive—is the right approach. This proposal raises significant concerns about the loss of community space, negative impacts on existing residents, and the true beneficiaries of any future development. 10 Oswald Drive is not “unused” as the proposal suggests. My family, like many others, regularly uses this land for exercise, walking our dogs, and enjoying the peace and natural surroundings. It’s a valuable open space that supports our physical and mental wellbeing. These informal community uses matter, even if they are not formally programmed. If this land is sold and developed, it will irreversibly change the character of the neighbourhood. Development would likely obstruct existing views, disrupt the quiet environment, and potentially	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		lower the appeal and value of surrounding properties. This impacts those who have invested in the area under the understanding that this land would remain open and public. Additionally, I am skeptical that this proposal will genuinely assist first home buyers. Oswald Drive is part of a more affluent area of Port Lincoln, where land and housing prices are already elevated. It is unlikely that any housing built on this site will be priced to meet the needs of low-to-middle income earners. Instead, the development is more likely to benefit wealthier buyers or investors, doing little to address the broader housing affordability issues the community is facing. Council-owned land should be used to deliver maximum long-term value for the whole community—not just short-term financial returns. Once this land is sold, it's gone forever. No amount of reinvestment elsewhere will replace the sense of space, nature, and community benefit this site currently provides. I urge Council to reconsider this proposal and to preserve 10 Oswald Drive as community land. With some creative thinking and minimal investment, the area could be enhanced as a walking trail, a native reserve, or an open green space—uses that protect the character of the area and provide lasting value for all residents.	
17	Revocation of 10 Oswald Drive	I have attached a letter for you to read, thank you	Refer Attachment 6
18	Reconsideration to revoke the community land classification of 10	I would like to share my opposition to the proposal to revoke the community land classification of 10 Oswald Drive for residential development. This area is one of the few quiet, open spaces left in our neighbourhood. We use it regularly and believe it should stay public and undeveloped. If this land is sold and developed, it'll be lost for good and there will be no way to reclaim the	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
	Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln,	land to it's original 'green space'. That's the part that really concerns me. Once it's gone, it's gone. Additionally, building here will not help first home buyers or the rental shortages faced within the town. It's a higher-end part of town and I believe the houses will be priced out of reach for many first home buyers and renters. Developing on this land, would also affect the area by blocking views, adding more traffic, and taking away another green space for monetary gain from rates and interstate developers, without any consideration for the residents and current rate payers of the area. You must reconsider this proposal and keep 10 Oswald Drive as community land.	
19	oppose the land revocation at 10 Oswald drive	We built in this area with the understanding that the land under consideration would always be an open space. We always enjoy walking on this area. We do not want the use of this land to change in any way.	-
20	Oswald Drive Revocation	No to Oswald Drive Revocation	Refer Attachment 7
21	Proposal to revoke 10 Oswald Drive	My name is [REDACTED] and I have lived at [REDACTED], Port Lincoln for over forty years. [REDACTED] and myself purchased the land which stretched from Valley View Road to Bay View Road and proposed a sub division in order to build our family homes. We also considered the surrounding landscape and designed generously proportioned housing blocks for families to build on. This proposal was designed to allow others to enjoy the views and natural terrain which consisted of many native trees, rock formations and wild grasses. An area between Lindsay Street and Oswald Drive was set aside as a nature reserve for future generations to enjoy the landscape which we had hoped would remained untouched and	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		unaltered. It saddens me to think that this area is now being considered for redevelopment and I am completely against the proposal. The environmental impact on the area would be both harmful and unsightly, eliminating the final remnant of untouched land. Many residents use this reserve on a daily basis and I frequently walk on this piece of land myself and look at how the surrounding area has changed. Please do not change this reserve. I allocated it for the people of Port Lincoln and I believe you will do the right thing and reconsider your proposal.	
22	revocation of land at 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN I am vehemently opposed to the recent proposal to revoke the nature reserve which is situated between Lindsay Street and Oswald Drive. This land was set aside for the community to share as an untouched and undeveloped parkland. The neighbouring landowners do not wish to have this land developed as it is the final piece of unaltered landscape in this area. The council claims that this reserve, along with other reserves around Port Lincoln earmarked for revocation will be used for housing, daycare and an aged care facility. The council has not considered land that may be of surplus to landowners such as the vast stretch of land at the marina. I am happy to approach [REDACTED] and ask if he would consider selling some of his land at a reasonable price for future town planning. The area surrounding the marina is far more suitable for such an undertaking rather than upsetting property owners who have enjoyed the current nature reserves which were sidelined well before the current council members were in office. I urge you to consider my proposal as I have not spoken to anyone who is in favour of the proposal the council has put forward.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
23	10 OSWALD DRIVE Port Lincoln	Please find attached a letter expressing our views on the Revocation of Community Land	Refer Attachment 8
24	10 Oswald Drive Port Lincoln	Regarding the Proposal for Revocation of Community Land in Port Lincoln Even though I am concerned about ALL the 5 reserves in our beautiful city, my main concern is 10 Oswald Drive. I have lived in this area for 40 years and the reserve has been a great spot for my kids, and now my grandchildren to go off and explore the reserve but also staying safely close by. Over the years we have watched many birds coming and going from the big trees at the bottom of the area. It has also had koalas over the years too. In this day and age of global warming shouldn't we be encouraged to keep these areas? Not only that, but we should be encouraged to plant more shrubs etc to make it even greener. I for one would put my hand up to plant and care for new shrubs. Isn't it going against all the global warming beliefs, to get rid of green space? It was written in the Council Report..."The Council regularly undertakes works of fire prevention and pest control thereon". Prior to Oswald Drive being sub divided the CFS used to do a burn off but these days, with houses all around, a contractor might go in and whipper snipper around once, maybe twice a year. It certainly isn't costing the Council much every year, and it certainly isn't "regularly". The people, whose property back on to the reserve, do their own fire breaks from what I have noticed. It is interesting that Council have said the area goes unused. Really? How would they know? Of the 5 from the Council who attended the public meeting on that Saturday they all had to admit they didn't know that reserve existed until they looked into what they could "get rid of". It has been used by kids in the area for years. It is also used by locals walking their dog and giving their animal a bit of "off leash time" in a safe environment.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		This reserve was gifted/rescinded by the owners of the land when they wanted to subdivide, for green space. We still need green space, I am not sure why Council thinks that has changed. The area is VERY rocky and would not be easy to dig out for residential living. The entry into and out of the reserve is very narrow and would be a traffic issue. There are so many other areas around our wonderful city that could be sub divided. I couldn't imagine they would get any more than 6 very blocks from that area, so really, what would be the point? It was also written in the Council Report.... Use... 10 Oswald Drive is current underutilised and has no community function. The land is undeveloped and contains no notable features of public interest. I strongly disagree. It is utilized. Perhaps we should all be encouraged to use it more. Perhaps we should look at what we could do to make it a lovely barbecue area for families to come and enjoy nature so close to home? Keeping it as natural as can be, for the wildlife, is way more important than bulldozing the lot for housing. Please hear our calls for leaving the green space for our future.	
	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - Oswald Drive	Dear All, Regarding the Proposal for Revocation of Community Land in Port Lincoln Even though I am concerned about ALL the 5 reserves in our beautiful city, my main concern is 10 Oswald Drive. I have lived in this area for 40 years and the reserve has been a great spot for my kids, and now my grandchildren to go off and explore the reserve but also staying safely close by. Over the years we have watched many birds coming and going from the big trees at the bottom of the area. It has also had koalas over the years too. In this day and age of global warming shouldn't we be encouraged to keep these areas? Not only that, but we should be encouraged to plant more shrubs etc to make it even greener. I for one would put my hand up to plant and care for new shrubs. Isn't it going against all the global warming beliefs, to get rid of green space?	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		It was written in the Council Report..."The Council regularly undertakes works of fire prevention and pest control thereon". Prior to Oswald Drive being sub divided the CFS used to do a burn off but these days, with houses all around, a contractor might go in and whipper snipper around once, maybe twice a year. It certainly isn't costing the Council much every year, and it certainly isn't "regularly". The people, whose property back on to the reserve, do their own fire breaks from what I have noticed. It is interesting that Council have said the area goes unused. Really? How would they know? Of the 5 from the Council who attended the public meeting on that Saturday they all had to admit they didn't know that reserve existed until they looked into what they could "get rid of". It has been used by kids in the area for years. It is also used by locals walking their dog and giving their animal a bit of "off leash time" in a safe environment. This reserve was gifted/rescinded by the owners of the land when they wanted to subdivide, for green space. We still need green space, I am not sure why Council thinks that has changed. The area is VERY rocky and would not be easy to dig out for residential living. The entry into and out of the reserve is very narrow and would be a traffic issue. There are so many other areas around our wonderful city that could be sub divided. I couldn't imagine they would get any more than 6 very blocks from that area, so really, what would be the point? It was also written in the Council Report.... Use... 10 Oswald Drive is current underutilised and has no community function. The land is undeveloped and contains no notable features of public interest. I strongly disagree. It is utilized. Perhaps we should all be encouraged to use it more. Perhaps we should look at what we could do to make it a lovely barbecue area for families to come and enjoy nature so close to home? Keeping it as natural as can be, for the wildlife, is way more important than bulldozing the lot for housing. Please hear our calls for leaving the green space for our future.	
25	Proposal for Revocation of	I oppose the proposal to revoke the community land classification of 10 Oswald Drive.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
	Community Land - 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	Public land like this plays a crucial role in preserving green space, supporting community wellbeing, and maintaining the character of our neighbourhoods. Developing this area for residential housing risks setting a precedent for the gradual erosion of community land. Sustainable development should focus on underutilised or already-developed areas, not on land held for the public good.	
26	10 Oswald Drive Port Lincoln	Further to the email sent to you from my wife, [REDACTED], I agree with her views and support and agree with everything she has corresponded. Please think very carefully about selling off our green spaces. They are not yours to sell, but those of our next generations to come.	-
27	Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	This piece of land, on Oswald drive... along with the 4 other parks that are being threatened to be taken away, were either a gift from former residents for use as a green space, for generations to come. Or a forced "gift" given by owners of the land around, that were subdividing the area for residential purposes. Council asked for this particular green space on Oswald to be left for residents, to have as a green space, for the future, noting how important it was to have such a space! Every piece of research into mental health, shows that the outdoor parks provided to residential areas and green spaces which take us back to nature, are of great importance to help toward building resilience, with mental health and physical health. Our space has significant trees that support so much native life, kids go there to climb these trees and the rocks outcrops. The new Holland honey eaters, kookaburras, hunting Kytes and a local wedge-tail eagle couple, have all been sending foraging and hunting, every day!! If you haven't seen this, then you haven't been looking!!	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Adelaide is in the process of expanding green areas in the northern suburbs, just look at the state premier's Facebook page! I new parkland is being developed because of mental health and community.. and it's going to be huge!! If you let these precious pieces of land go, you will never get them back... and you have no way of knowing what developers will be coming in and what they'll be doing. They are big business and have no care for the surrounding community, they know how to get around council to build whatever they want. It is sad to think you can get rid of these so quickly, just remember that you'll be known for this for generations to come.. the council that took these precious green spaces, when there were other options. Just because of some temporary budget cuts that you couldn't fight or failed to fight. This is written on behalf of myself and my husband [REDACTED] and our 3 children (that you are taking this from).	
28	Revocation of Community Land	Port Lincoln City Councillor's Re – Revocation of Community Land I am writing to you regarding the rezoning of land at 10 Oswald Drive. It was always intended that this block of land was set aside as a park. Now for the council to say that it is too much to maintain means you haven't considered how the people in the area have set up their home 30 years ago. People surrounding this area have set up their homes taking advantage of this open space. Their blocks and homes were built with the idea that they had open spaces behind them to enjoy. People paid additional monies because of this open space behind them and now you will devalue their property if this open space is allowed to be rezoned and redeveloped. If this gets redeveloped, it clearly sends a message that the land owners of Port Lincoln cannot trust the current members of the Port Lincoln Council.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
29	Revocation of Community Land	Port Lincoln City Council To whom it may concern, I am writing to you regarding the Revocation of Community Land in Port Lincoln. I am strongly opposed to the revocation of any public open space community land in Port Lincoln. The proposed land I am referring to in this letter is the open space at 10 Oswald Drive. As I write this from my kitchen lounge, I am looking directly at the beautiful group of trees that make up the bottom half of this land. There are few tall trees in house blocks in this area due to the number of rocks in the ground, so it is lovely to enjoy these on 10 Oswald Drive. The green trees of nature have a special effect on us all and when we are cut off from nature the consequences can be profound on our mental, physical, emotional and spiritual health. I visit neighbours of this community land, and we often wander through the land looking at plants and birds who also enjoy this piece of refuge amongst the houses. If you were to rezone this piece of land to sell off for perhaps 4 house blocks little would be gained. The people who originally bought these blocks did so because they considered the open space would always be behind them. It seems unjust to suddenly want the extra monies and change the rezoning. These original landowners have lived here for over 30 years and designed their homes around this open space, which if rezoned would be crowded, with peace and tranquillity lost. Anyone buying and building houses on this rezoned open space would feel trapped and locked in by surrounding homes and only one entrance. As far as these open blocks of community land costing council too much each year to maintain. It appears to be only once a year that we see council workers at 10 Oswald Drive. Surely this is not too often with little cost involved.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		I disagree that there is a significant shortage of housing in this area. People who live in this area do so because they prefer a larger block and they enjoy the nature of open spaces with trees and bird life surrounding them. I understand the entrance to this proposed new development would be from Oswald Drive. It appears to be a small entrance, causing congestion and additional traffic. I believe there are other opportunities to purchase land for housing shortages within Port Lincoln. The main opportunity for council would be to allow applications of development and purchasing of privately sold land parcels.	
30	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln	I disagree with the Proposal for Revocation of Community Land All recreational community land should remain as recreational land for parks & recreation purposes. As our city grows the need for recreational spaces are extremely important to give families place to be able to exercise & relax & take time out of their busy life. Parks & outdoor recreational spaces promote healthy wellbeing; spaces for families within the community a sense of belonging & promoting good mental health & healthy families. It would be better for this land to be kept as is and developed into safe park spaces for families to be able to be used.	-
31	Submission proposed revocation of 10 Oswald Drive	Please find attached my feedback regarding the proposed revocation of 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln.	Refer Attachment 9
32	Port Lincoln City Councillors	Port Lincoln City Councillors Re Revocation of Community Land	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		The land behind my property at [REDACTED] is very uneven and would require a Considerable amount of machinery to bring it to a desirable building site. There is a huge amount of granite rock all over the area which has proven to be very expensive and difficult to remove as some residents have found. This would cause so much dust and would possibly contaminate our rain water supply Which we highly value. In the trees directly behind my house many different varieties of birds visit and breed All the time and their habitat would be destroyed if this revocation went ahead. I have two bird baths and the birds visit these every day. When we purchased this block we did so because of the Reserve and setting it offered And thought we would have for the future. I'm sure there are many other areas that could be acquired for housing in the future And sincerely hope you will leave our loved Reserve alone. There are areas of Port Lincoln ideal for development that cannot be developed due to Council zoning. Council needs to free up zoning for residential development and allow market forces Dictate the development rather than sell off Parklands and Reserves. I also think that accessing this piece of land would be quite hazardous with the amount of traffic that travels past the entry point to it on Oswald drive. There are other points of discussion through out your proposal which I hope will be brought To the councils attention at the information day on Saturday 10th May 2025	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
33	Response to Proposed Revocation of Community Land Classification – 10 Oswald Drive	Dear Mr. Brown, Please find attached a formal legal response on behalf of [REDACTED], a long-standing resident of the Oswald Drive neighbourhood, in opposition to the proposed revocation of the community land classification for 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln. This response outlines several substantive and procedural concerns arising from Council's approach to the proposed revocation. It is our position that the revocation is not only inconsistent with proper community consultation but may also fall short of procedural fairness, statutory intent under the Local Government Act 1999 (SA), and the obligations arising from environmental and planning legislation. We urge Council to reconsider the proposed revocation and ensure that any decision is made transparently, lawfully, and in genuine consultation with the affected community. We respectfully request a formal acknowledgment of this submission and that it be tabled at the next relevant Council meeting.	Refer Attachment 10
	Oswald Drive Revocation	Dear Minister Szakacs, Mayor Diana and Port Lincoln City Councillors, I write to you all with great concern, wishing to indicate my disapproval and disappointment of your revocation project (currently under consultation) for all the nominated green spaces in Port Lincoln. I am writing this letter specifically representing the surrounding neighbours and myself who regularly use the green space of 10 Oswald Drive - one of the proposed sites. I am amazed that a 15-minute initial visit by councillors, standing at the highest point on this green space, have then made this decision to revoke an area that they previously were totally unaware was council land, as was mentioned at their information session.	Refer Attachment 11

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		This small pocket of land was relinquished to council by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (as a government/council requirement for use as a green space for this neighbourhood) when they purchased a large parcel of land at this location. I believe the council inspection, prior to announcing this public consultation, didn't take the time to explore the challenges involved in development of this space, and also did not consider how this decision could create so much heartfelt anger and disappointment to those who border the area (many houses) along with the many users of this space. We all paid top dollar for our land knowing that this beautiful space would always be available as a recreational area to be enjoyed by the whole neighbourhood and locals. The gradient of this land is steep, with a massive underlay of granite. With flooding rains, any water that doesn't run down the slope sits for days as it is unable to penetrate the sheet granite below. Mayor Diana commented at the information session that it would be a very challenged developer who chose to take on this project. How true! At the much lower end of this block is an array of native vegetation including native trees, mallee trees and gum trees which are frequently visited by koalas and kookaburras, blue wrens and plovers who make nests amongst the granites. It is so special to see the visiting koalas, to hear the kookaburras laughing first thing in the morning, as well as hearing all the other birds throughout the day. We watch the plovers, which come each year to nest, showing off their young when they have been hatched. Most neighbourhood children and parents use this area, particularly on the upper level to kick a football, play cricket, exercise their dogs and build cubby houses in the trees at the bottom of the slope. My grandchildren love to have a picnic near the massive granite boulder and take a walk amongst the trees – they call it fairyland and it is a 'must do' when they come to stay. In the very early stages of covid in Port Lincoln, I tested positive and was required to isolate for 14 days. It was a godsend to have this beautiful natural area to walk around for exercise, fresh air and mental peace in an uncertain time. It would be such a tragedy to see this beautiful fauna and flora disappear.	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		The size of this parcel of land in question is small, and with the terrain, granite and water issue, this would be a difficult sale. Many blocks in this area have passed ownership up to four times, as the new land owners realise it is just too expensive and challenging when tackling the massive granite base. A nearby neighbour's building process came to a quick halt when granite impeded his housebuilding process, costing him over \$50K in a bid to remove the massive granite boulders, causing many months delay in the building construction. I too have been very concerned with this revocation plan as my house has cracks, (see images attached), a result of surrounding percussion treatment from nearby building sites on the granite boulders that plague this landform. We have had builders fix cracks, only to have others appear with recent digging and jackhammering two blocks away. I am despairing at what damage will possibly occur to my house if development goes ahead at our back fence. It was indicated on the information day by a councillor that the estimated annual cost of maintaining this space was around \$2K. This is a small overall maintenance figure for this space and represents a half of my annual council rates. Generally, twice a year two or three men whipper snip the grass that grows on the land and around the rocks - a task taking usually up to two thirds of a day. It's a small maintenance cost indeed, for an area that is valued and used by this neighbourhood. This space is surrounded by houses, and, and the only access in and out it is through a narrow easement. Safety concerns not yet addressed are for all vehicles, emergency service vehicles and heavy-duty vehicles who would be using this entry/exit, as it runs between two houses and would not support double lane traffic. The angle of emergence from this easement onto Oswald Drive is very sharp and steep, causing unclear vision of traffic travelling along Oswald Drive as well as traffic coming around the sharp corner at the top of the road. It was mentioned on the information session by the council representatives that this space would be open for developers to build high end housing for 'high end' business executives	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		moving to Port Lincoln. It has been indicated by the council that there is a need for more affordable housing so surely high-end housing shouldn't be a focus in this current economic situation. Has council done a survey to identify just how many high-end houses are currently available in Port Lincoln, compared to the 'need' for this type of housing? The number of proposed buildings on this site would be limited and the enormous cost of developing this area and the consequential cost of a completed build would indeed restrict the market, not to mention street lighting and pumps to push the excess water/sewerage back up the hill. Our neighbourhood believes there are more suitable areas to consider by council for the purpose of residential, aged care, childcare and community services. A suggestion is to sell off a portion (1/3) of Puckridge Park, (with street access) –and a portion of the street area of Pioneer Park, behind Pioneer Village (an ideal location for an aged care facility). This would be a win win situation both for council and citizens of Port Lincoln, as those green spaces would still be preserved, albeit smaller, and at the same time provide a space for new development. The 'railway' corridor along Porter Street and Mortlock Terrace junction would be an ideal central location as well for these needs. When viewing the map of Port Lincoln, there are so many areas undeveloped that it seems unfair to the citizens to take away their 5 valuable allocated green spaces. I do feel the same anger and disappointment as felt by the residents at all the designated green spaces being considered as we absolutely need them. I ask council to seriously reconsider their suggestion to revoke these well used spaces and to consider other options.	
34	Submission – Oswald Drive Reserve		Refer Attachment 12

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
35	10 Oswald Drive Revocation	Dear Sir/Madam RE: Submission Revocation of Community Land – 10 Oswald Drive I wish to submit our objection to the revocation of community land classification of 10 Oswald Drive, Port Lincoln. Whilst we understand the need for much needed community services, housing, aged care or other developments that align with the community's needs and aspirations we believe that this land is unsuitable for this. We purchased our land at [REDACTED] and built our home with the understanding that 10 Oswald Drive was green space/community land that would never be built on. Our home was designed around knowing this land would always be vacant. We paid a premium price knowing that the view across 10 Oswald Drive would never be affected. With the revocation and if housing was built this could disrupt our view and devalue our property. The land itself has narrow and limited access that will affect the traffic entering and exiting this site. This includes council vehicles such as rubbish trucks, emergency vehicles – fire, ambulance etc. There is no ability to have two way access as the road is only wide enough for one vehicle and there is no ability for footpaths. The land is also very rocky, so if houses were to built it may affect the surrounding houses stability. The land is also regularly used by children and families in the area who explore the area, walk and exercise their dogs, view the birdlife and koalas so to lose this space will be a loss. It is our understanding when the land was originally subdivided that Laurie Gobin had to relinquish a certain amount of land for open space. The proposed relinquishment of this open space raises serious concerns about fairness and due consideration. We note that four other parcels of land are being considered for revocation and we consider these as a better option for community services and housing. These all allow bigger development with less impact to residents.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		A suggestion for 10 Oswald Drive reserve would be for surrounding residents to maintain this area saving council the cost of maintenance.	
36	10 Oswald drive Port Lincoln	To the CEO of the Port Lincoln council With so many other areas available to build, this is a ridiculous proposal. With six blocks available, it will bottleneck that entrance which is already a dangerous area. This is purely a money grabbing exercise by a council that has put no thought into what effect this will have on the value of the existing homes	-
37	10 Oswald Drive Port Lincoln	To: Port Lincoln City Council Subject: Objection to Sale of Public Land for Housing Development 10 Oswald Drive Dear Port Lincoln Council, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed sale of public land 10 Oswald Drive within the Port Lincoln Council area for the purpose of housing development. This parcel of land is far more than just a piece of undeveloped property—it is a vital green space that supports the mental and physical health of our community. Families, young and old. With the pressures of modern life, having accessible natural areas for recreation, exercise, and relaxation is more important than ever. Green open spaces have been proven to reduce stress, anxiety, and depression, and their loss would negatively impact the well-being of many local residents. 10 Oswald drive has various species of wildlife, especially native birds. Housing development will inevitably disrupt these ecosystems, reducing habitat and biodiversity. This is a step in the wrong direction at a time when environmental sustainability should be a priority.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Additionally, the sale and development of this land will lead to increased traffic congestion in surrounding areas. Existing infrastructure is already under pressure, and further population growth without adequate planning will only worsen road safety and accessibility issues for residents. Importantly, this space is used by families as a safe and open area for children to play and explore—something increasingly rare in growing towns. It is also a much-loved spot for dog walkers and community members seeking peaceful outdoor activity. Replacing it with houses would rob the community of a shared space that fosters connection, health, and inclusiveness. I urge the Council to consider the long-term social, environmental, and health impacts of selling this land. Once sold and developed, it is gone forever. The short-term financial gain does not outweigh the lasting cost to community life, mental health, and environmental value. Please preserve this public land for the benefit of current and future generations of Port Lincoln residents. There are smarter, more sustainable ways to address housing needs that do not come at the expense of vital public assets.	
38	10 Oswald Drive - Proposed Revocation of Community Land	Hi, My name is [REDACTED] and I am writing on behalf of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] is the owner of [REDACTED] which adjoins 10 Oswald Drive. [REDACTED] is supportive of listing the parcel of land for sale at 10 Oswald Dr and acquiring the land through a competitive process. I would like to ensure she does not miss the opportunity to secure the land, either in its entirety or through the purchase of parcels of land neighboring [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]'s aim in purchasing the land is ensuring no one builds at the back of her property on Valley View Drive, this may align with the view of other residents who may be opposed to the sale.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		■■■ has maintained the land adjacent to ■■■■■■■■■■ for many years, personally paying for contractors to keep the area fire safe and clean. Please feel free to contact me on ■■■■■■■■■■ at any time. As ■■■■'s employee and representative I will be acting on her behalf.	
39	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land	Dear Brad, I would like to thank the Council for considering all possibilities to address some of the difficult issues like housing and aged care availability facing Port Lincoln and for allowing the community to visit and make contributions towards the various land parcels of the revocation proposal. I did take the time to visit some of the Community Land proposed for revocation and will confine comments to those I have most familiarity with, but would also like to make some further contributions generally that Council may find merit in. AGED CARE/HIGHVIEW DRIVE: Having recently had first hand experience with the aged care system, I can greatly appreciate the need for more capacity. However, I do not agree that the Harbourview Reserve is the best place for this. In the immediate short-term, I would like Council to prioritise exploring the potential of the following 2 options: 1. Add another floor to the existing Matthew Flinders facility; 2. Alternatively consider extending rooms/accommodation to the front of the existing home so that the current existing carpark would effectively become an undercover carpark of the new wing. This would reduce/negate the issue of people opposing extra building height interfering with their 'view'. The advantage of either of these options is maximising efficient use of staff and resources.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		For the longer term - there will be vastly increased need for aged care facilities. And these needs are not confined to within the Port Lincoln City Council boundary. Aged care is an issue that applies to adjacent Councils also - it would be wonderful for Port Lincoln and Lower Eyre Council to work together to identify a large parcel of land (in LEC) and build a strategic facility to provide a range of assisted living and higher care options in a sprawling rural setting that is appealing and stimulating to our aging rural population and the extended family member(s) to visit and walk/easily move with wheelchairs and mobility devices through landscaped grounds. Combining Aged Care and Child Care in close proximity may also bring immense benefit to both young and old and may become an inspirational example for others to follow (and once again potentially bring efficiency to staffing as both sectors are currently struggling). 10 OSWALD DRIVE: It is my understanding that this was gifted to Council for the purpose of Community use; and as such any future development or proposals should be at least discussed with the donor. Current use falls far short of that, partly (maybe) from lack of awareness but also the narrow inconspicuous access. The area could become a lovely picnic area (NE quadrant) with minimalistic sheltered areas such as with Puckridge Park and some native landscaping around features like the large boulder connecting with the waterfall and plantings along the southern half. Any future development will have containing factors like access, rock, topography and drainage. There may be potential to section off 1 or 2 house blocks immediately on the back of 6 and 8 Oswald drive (ie the NW quadrant) for development, however there would need to be an absolute requirement that every drop of rain that falls must be retained within those property boundary(s). Any increase in hard surfaces or roofs will exaggerate the stormwater flows and flooding that is already being experienced by residents along Valley View Road. Natural wetlands could be created along the northern boundary of existing vegetation to slow surface flows and assist with storm water assimilation of the area. 25 CHAPMAN STREET:	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Like Oswald Drive, this area may have potential to offer some land AND work towards enhancing the natural landscape for Community use. The road frontage is obviously the easiest to consider some housing development. The wider parcel of land contains a listed native vegetation species (Acacia dodonaeifolia - listed as Rare in SA, under NPWS Act), has large granite intrusions (top portion) and potentially water-logging and drainage/flooding issues (the area near the dam). The Acacia scrub area could likely benefit from some small scale traditional-owner-style-fire-management; there is a lot of dead material that has become infested with invasive weeds. Opening this up with cool burn ground fire can help re-invigorate the rare species, as well as reduce on-going fire risk where the remnant has fine fuel grass weeds and bridle creeper infestation. This area, which also includes the granite could then remain a valuable bit of natural green space to allow kids to participate in nature play. The quadrant nearest the dam could be cleared of invasive grasses and sculptured into a designated wetland to accommodate over flow from the dam, runoff from the surrounding granite intrusion and developed house blocks; plus assimilate runoff from the major upslope drainage works of Walter Street. Small scale wetlands can provide vital habitat for water birds and the small native insect and seed eating native species like fairy wrens, thorn-bills and pardalotes which would still be present in the adjacent Acacia woodland. I did not visit the remaining allotments and will refrain from commenting on those. I would however like to see the Council explore the option to allow increased building heights within a portion of areas across broader Port Lincoln - to accommodate future housing/apartment development. I do believe that green space, natural landscape (including native vegetation) and open space is currently undervalued by many in the community. Port Lincoln City Council does have and will continue to have challenges balancing human and nature needs within the constrained spatial footprint of current boundaries; as such I see it should be a very high focus to retain and protect existing well conditioned native remnants - like the wider Murray Point and the Delamere Wetland area; with more emphasis on developing vacant properties and relaxing	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		height restrictions to allow a progression towards higher density living. Medium and high density living can work well if there is community green space and open space deliberately factored in to the development plans. I hope that these thoughts are of value in your immediate assessment of the Revocation proposal and can contribute to wider future strategic planning.	
40	Opposition to the development of 10 Oswald Drive Port Lincoln	Refer Letter Attached	Refer Attachment 13
Submissions received added below relating to all submissions.			
41	Revocation of Community Land	Please record and note my total rejection of the proposal by Council for revocation of community land. This includes all five locations. Open space is a fundamental requirement for the health and wellbeing of residents and once disposed of it is gone forever. For Council to place a greater need to satisfy "market interests" in developing community land for commercial use is unacceptable. The Minister for Local Government will be strongly informed of the need to listen to local residents and reject this proposal.	-
42	Revocation of Community land	Hello Dear Council Members,	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		I don't agree with any of our Green Spaces being taken away from the residents. Once they are gone they are gone forever. Even if it is to simple take in view, is better than always looking at buildings. Im told that some of these spaces were donated to the city to be used as green spaces playgrounds or walking areas, whatever the residents would like to use them as. Please leave them alone. Thank you for the opportunity to have my say. There will be more land for the building your talking about.	
43	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - Trigg Street Reserve - Willison Street	I am 100% against Council selling any of the land that has been suggested. This proposal is trouble in the making, developers will do with it as they please (no doubt through State planning to circumvent Council) to the detriment of local community. NO SALE !	-
44	Revocation of Community Land, 25 Chapman Street, Port Lincoln	The attached letter applies equally to all of the proposed public reserve revocations.	Refer Attachment 14
	Revocation of Community Land, Port Lincoln	Please consider the attached letter to Council and Staff when addressing all of the proposed revocations of community land	Refer Attachment 14 Same as above

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
45	Disapproval of all land revocation	I would like to formally object to all land revocation in port lincoln especially the allotment of 25 Chapman St. This property backs onto our property at chapman Street and if full of native trees and wildlife. We bought the adjacent property to ensure the was no neighbouring houses around us. All these parcels of land we given to the council when subdivisions were originally done and the council has no right to PROFIT from this. Once green spaces are gone, they can never be replaced, and for many wildlife species, they are corridors for them to move about. There is an abundance of land around that is on the market and no need to sell these blocks at all. Maybe the council could work with people that actually want to subdivide there land and sell instead of making that process to hard. There is no greater In place that the sale of these lands will be used for the "said" purposes. There is no studies in place to look at traffic, sewage, power or water to see if it is even possible to achieve the councils said outcome. This land belongs to the public and you have no right to fill the council bank account from the sale of these properties. You say these parcels of land cost money to maintain well in my [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I have never once seen or herd of any monies spent on the parcel at 25 chapman Street same as the Oswald drive allotment. The other ones are parks and the rates cover what is poorly managed on these sites anyway. This land should never be sold nor is there a need for this. I will strongly appose any move towards all of the 5 parcels being sold.	-
46	Revocation Chapman street	The area planned for revocation in chapman street is an area that needs to be preserved...it's an extension of the rustlers gully reserve and home to koalas, kookaburras, bearded dragons and other native species. There is also so much privately owned land on the south side of port Lincoln that has already been cleared that could be subdivided further and zoned or rezoned residential.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		All other parks up for revocation should also be preserved for similar reasons and we as a community have an obligation to keep our green spaces for future generations.	
47	Land Revocation and Greyhound Road / old dump	Please see attached my feedback and suggestions regarding the proposal for land revocation within the council area. Thank you for the opportunity.	Refer Attachment 15
48	Community land revocation submission	I am pleased to provide the attached submission for consideration. I am available for further discussion to clarify my conclusions, and/or for Council to clarify its position. Please note that I am away from home base between 27/5 and 26/6.	Refer Attachment 16
49	Nature Reserve	Hello, I, like many other residents of Port Lincoln, disapprove of the council's intent to sell off our reserves to private developers. These reserves serve as important community spaces and wildlife nesting grounds.	-
50	Harbourview Reserve and others	As a Port Lincoln resident since birth, and a Surveyor who has worked in Port Lincoln and Eyre Peninsula for 30 years, I oppose the revocation of any community land in Port Lincoln. I am writing this in relation to Harbourview Reserve, but also regarding the other reserves. As a Surveyor I just shake my head of what is being proposed, it is just bad. This is land that was provided as a requirement for Subdivision. Every resident in Port Lincoln should have been notified by letter drop not just residents facing the Reserve. There are residents out there that have no idea about what is being proposed. It is quite disgusting, it is as though the council has done minimum work so there is minimum blowback. The Council should be more engaged with the public if they are concerned about lack of development happening. Not only is there over a	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		hundred houses for sale in Port Lincoln at the moment but there is so much vacant land in Port Lincoln that could be divided up and developed, but for various reason there are roadblocks stopping it from happening. Whether it is because sewer and water cost are too expensive to extend, Native Veg makes things hard or the zoning. There is so much vacant large parcels of land which will stay vacant for ever because of these issues. The development of Community Land should be a last resort, in the future this land will be invaluable, but once it is gone its gone for good. Whoever came up with this idea mustn't live in the council area and or spends their free time sitting inside watching TV. I would much rather have Community land for everybody to enjoy than have the Council own a half empty Civic Centre.	
51	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land - Harbourview Reserve - Highview Drive, Port Lincoln	To the CEO and Councillors, I believe this and all the additional pieces of land subject to Revocation should absolutely not be sold off by Council or otherwise Transferred they are reserves and green space. In some cases the original owners who are still alive were forced to give this land up in order to get development approval. These open spaces are for the community... not to be sold off by Council and its employees and representatives who in most cases will be gone or moved on in the next few years.	-
52	PLCC's proposal to revoke Community Recreational Reserves (5) status.	You proposal to revoke Community Recreational Reserves makes two unsubstantiated statements. 1. The high cost of maintenance and up keep. SHOW US THE FIGURES TO PROVE YOUR CLAIM! PLCC's new trees, seating and paving at Liverpool St pedestrian crossing costs what? Just one of those benches would care for Harbourview Reserve for the year.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		2. You claim under use of Reserves. NOT ON HARBOURVIEW! People of Lincoln come from across the city to use Harbourview. They are there from 7am to 7pm. Your very expensive upgrade of Waite reserve is an abject failure. Looks good but no one uses it. SHOW US YOUR SURVEY DATA, and EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE UNDER UTILISATION! (for Harbourview specifically) You, our Councillors, your duty to your community is very clear, open your ears and then it is a simple YES or NO. When you vote on this proposal, feel your communities wishes. ██████████ On Sat, 24 May 2025, 10:18 am ██████████, <██████████> wrote: Our members, both state government and local Counsellors are elected to represent their constituents. Fact. The majority of our community of Port Lincoln are clearly against this PLCC proposal. I would expect you Counsellor's to listen to your community and do what you were elected to do. PLCC are burying their heads in the sand if they choose to exclude/ ignore social media. All information is power. We who are also forwarding submissions of objection are very concerned that the PLCC will "summarise or paraphrase submissions" before the Minister receives them. We do not want anyone amending, redacting or otherwise our submissions.	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
	Revoking community reserves	I have serious concerns about the PLCC's proposal to rezone 5 community reserves. Good land use practice recommends 15% allocation to community green, recreational reserves. Many very recent and authoritative articles reinforce the correlation between these spaces and healthy physical and mental outcomes. Please read one or two. I've looked at the "survey monkey" questionnaire and who will understand it? Council should publish that handout information page in it's totality into the Lincoln Times. A very small percentage of our community would be aware of that document.	-
53	Harbourview Reserve	To: City of Pt Lincoln Council Mayor & elected members & officials. Re: Having my say regarding the Revocation of Community Land Proposal I am taking time to write to you to convey my strong wish to protect Harbourview Reserve along with other parcels of community land identified by council as surplus to council needs. I acknowledge there is a need for more housing & age & childcare facilities in our city, along with the whole of our state & I believe our nation. There is also a huge need in mental health & drug rehabilitation due to a lack of services & facilities in our city & beyond. I believe very strongly in promoting health & wellbeing, physically, socially & emotionally.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Having a green & open recreational space for simple, accessible & affordable activities which is available for the whole community of Pt Lincoln is a massive asset in providing adequate space to safely to be active, not just in this short term but the long term. Not all people can afford the cost of competitive sports, gyms etc, therefore making these spaces so important to health & wellbeing to many. It is well researched & documented that our younger generations are impacted with increased health problems & conditions due to a more sedentary lifestyle meaning less activity, increased screen time & social media engagement. These include overweight & obesity, early onset diabetes, depression & anxiety & limited socialisation just to name a few. To replace a community green space with buildings is dismissing the value of the environment in assisting in the wellbeing of a community at grassroots level. After raising my children opposite Harbourview Reserve, I now care for 4 grandchildren most weeks for varying lengths of time. The benefits Harbourview Reserve has offered to my family & others over these years is an open green, space to engage in many activities. These activities include running, bike riding, kicking footballs, soccer balls, exercising the family dog, climbing rocks & trees, playing chasey & hide & seek, looking for lizards & other creatures, observing nature, building structures out of sticks as well as the playground equipment, digging & playing in the sand. Most times I have my neighbours 3 children join us also as they enjoy playing with my grandkids & they all play well together. This not only benefits these kids but their mum as her partner	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		works away most weeks, she is able take a break from her busy work / home life balance & catchup at home while experiencing some peace & quiet. All aspects are of significant benefit in the development of positive physical, social & emotional well-being. I am able to encourage the kids to observe & discuss the flocks of galahs that feed on the seeds on the ground in the reserve in season, along with other bird life that frequent the area. We have a family of magpies who nest near & frequent the park, bringing their new family each year to visit our deck. We also wake to the sounds of other birds each morning which I taught my kids & grandkids to listen out for. They often say they know it was nearly morning as they can hear the birds chirping. As I am in the reserve each week I meet young families from the area, mums with their babies &/or kids catching up, families with kids, dads with their kids while mum is home catching up with chores at home, kids from other areas who are visiting relatives living in the area, bigger kids who have the space to hang out. Most times I engage with one or two people / families each time I am in the reserve. When not in the reserve I observe individuals, children & families every day doing anything from utilising the playground, riding bikes, exercising dogs, practicing golf shots, running timed sprints, flying kites, hosting birthday parties, the list goes on. Harbourview Reserve in my opinion is a valued & frequently used space in our beautiful city. It provides a space that is easily maintained, offering children / families / individuals the room to ride, run, walk, play, roam, explore, practice, host & hang out safely, significantly enhancing physical, social & mental health. The long-term health benefits of this green space to the community are significant.	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Primary Health Care was formally established in the late 1970s. Key aspects being: -It is a holistic approach to health care encompassing both preventative measures to improve health & curative services to treat illnesses. -the importance of community participation in planning & implementing health services. -primary health care should be accessible to all people, regardless of their social or economic status. Promoting improved health & wellbeing (primary health) aims to educate (empower) individuals & communities about healthy behaviours such as physical activity, nutrition & smoking cessation as examples to decrease the long-term burden of health problems. Transforming an accessible, affordable, community reserve that provides a space for relaxation, socialising & being active at a grass roots level into buildings, extra traffic, driving birds & animals away etc is a complete contradiction to this initiative in my opinion. Also mentioning briefly, the detrimental impact developing this reserve will have on safety & congestion on the roads with increased traffic, the bird life, the peace & tranquility & the devaluation of surrounding properties. I implore council to please consider alternative options to developing community green space. It is difficult to offer a solution without knowing what land & how seriously council have explored options for housing, aged & child care previously, but I believe there has to be alternatives. I am also shocked & concerned as to how many people I have spoken to who are unaware of this proposal. Can I please request that council outline the criteria / processes undertaken to measure / conclude that the 5 spaces listed in the proposal are under-utilised. PLEASE, PLEASE don't cash in our city's valued green space for development.	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		In my opinion it is a cheap, shortsighted fix which is ignoring the long-term benefit of precious spaces that enhance the health & wellbeing of our community. "Once this space is gone it is lost forever." Having my say,	
54	Proposed Community Land Revocation	REVOCATION OF COMMUNITY LAND Dear Sir/Madam I am writing this email to express my opposition the Port Lincoln City Council's proposed revocation of parcels of Community Land, with particular reference to the land known as "Harbourview Reserve". As a resident and ratepayer of this city I am concerned about the impact of redevelopment of these areas and the negative effects it would have on the immediate residents and the broader community as a whole. These public spaces offer – . Open area green space for all to enjoy now, and for future generations. . Recreational areas. . A buffer zone opening up neighbourhoods and reducing housing congestion. . Natural habits and wildlife space.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		I do appreciate the need for additional aged care infrastructure, but question why the Council has become the provider of land considering that there are large privately owned parcels of land not more than 10 minutes travel from our CBD. It is essential that the council continues to listen to the wishes and needs of the community in any decision making process. After all local government is elected by the community to serve the community, and also employed as such. Again please register my opposition to any land revocation.	
55	Revocation of Community Land	The PLCC With respect I am not in favour of your proposal of the revocation of Community land for the following reasons: 1) Community land once sold cannot be returned and paradoxically with a growing population it might be required for public use. 2) Our water supply even with our Desal plant (when and if that's finished) may be insufficient to supply not only the existing population but an increase! 3) The topography of this town and the corresponding inadequate roads are making driving in this town at times difficult let alone significantly increasing the population. It's made more difficult with the B2, B3 and normal semis cluttering up the roads. Not helped by the rail closure. I have not seen any major street/roads undertaken in the 6 years I have lived here. Improving roads etc would be the first thing looked at before further development?	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		4) The cost to the city upgrading streets etc will be passed onto the ratepayers? Already rates are going past the CPI. Council needs to live within its means as the ratepayers something that seems to escape the council from the Mayor/down. Port Lincoln is a beautiful city but there is room for improvement/upkeep in existing areas before development community land. Budgets in the present economy, cost of living needs to be well checked before unrealistic development.	
56	HARBOURVIEW RESERVE - RESPONSE TO COUNCIL PROPOSAL TO REVOKE COMMUNITY TITLE	Minister, Mayor, Ceo and Councillors Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed revocation of Community Land classifications and subsequent rezoning of five reserves within the City of Port Lincoln, with a view to selling those reserves to meet current and future needs for aged care, childcare, housing or community services. I am a property owner that would be directly impacted by the sale of land forming Harbourview Reserve. I wish to make some general comments about the broader proposal, then I will focus on Harbourview Reserve. At the outset, I have a general concern about the proposal to sell land currently zoned as Community Land for private developments in Port Lincoln, particularly when there does not appear to be a market failure in the private market at present. A search of residential land currently for sale in Port Lincoln at the time of writing included approximately 50 – 70 listings on each of domain.com and realestate.com, with some of those falling well into the ‘affordable’ bracket (for example 4,900m2 on Tennant Street priced at \$220,000 - \$240,000). Further, I would expect that any land to be sold by Council would be at a ‘going rate’ so as to provide the best possible return to rate-payers, and not disadvantage private land owners that may have land for sale (or be considering the sale of land) – that is, a property developer or aged	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		care provider (for example) should not gain any greater benefit from purchasing land from Council than if they purchased from another landowner. Council's documentation making the case for the revocation and sale of community land fails to identify or consider privately owned land that would be suitable for the purposes outlined. The sale of community land for residential development creates a greater population density, with many new allotments generally being smaller in size, bringing more residents into an area while at the same time reducing publicly available space. Council's Open Space Strategy 2021-2026 notes that South Australian children are spending less time outside than at any other time in the past, and cites research that unstructured outdoor play and immersion in nature is essential to the health and wellbeing of children. Indeed, the South Australian Government's 'Healthy Parks Healthy People SA Framework 2021-26' notes the benefits of spending time outdoors for the physical and mental health of all people by providing opportunities for social connection, physical exercise, connection with nature as well as the positive environmental effects of open space in built up environments. While Port Lincoln may have an 'oversupply' of community land on a population basis, it is also important to consider the accessibility, nature and diversity of that land, coupled with the 12.5% developer contributions required by Section 198 of the Planning Development and Infrastructure Act 1996 (the Act) By my calculation, the amount of accessible Public Open Space (excluding Murray's Point Reserve, Grantham Island Reserve and two-thirds of the Caravan Park Reserve) is 14.4%, which does not greatly exceed the 12.5% threshold. (incidentally, looking at the map in the Open Space Strategy document of what is counted as 'council land' for this calculation some of it includes a large cemetery(?) and Sporting complexes (so not always accessible particularly on weekends) I would have thought at least the cemetery be excluded? As residential allotments continue to decrease in size, the demand for a range of open spaces in the council area will only continue to grow. Disposing of larger, under-developed reserves may limit Council's ability to meet future demands for recreational facilities including – but not	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		limited to – dog parks, adventure playgrounds, nature reserves or sensory gardens. I note that Section 198 of the Act provides that developers may make a contribution prescribed by regulations, rather than contribute up to 12.5% of a land division to Council to be held as open space and – if Council’s concern is funding its existing open space assets - I would support that approach in the future. With respect to Harbourview Reserve, it is my understanding this land was gifted to the Council by the former proprietor of the Port Lincoln Times, Mr M Hill and that it was to be preserved as open space for the community to enjoy. Residents are obtaining legal advice to establish whether the land is ‘impressed with a trust’ such that any Council action to revoke the Community Land classification is in breach of that Trust. My research also establishes that the approval of the subdivision of land adjacent the Park had an open space requirement that now appears to be being totally disregarded by Council. The Council’s information package indicates that the land is ‘potentially surplus to community needs’, presumably because the facilities on the land are quite limited, but this fails to recognise the informal use of the land that would be lost if only 1000m² was retained as playground. Harbourview Reserve is a popular spot for dog walking, informal walking groups, kicking footballs, and many families have purchased homes in the area to appreciate these benefits, along with the sense of nature and open space – many of us enjoy the family of magpies and other birdlife that frequent the reserve and give a sense of tranquility to the neighbourhood, we use the reserve most days if not to walk the dog, enjoy the openness – this is a unique and rare open space left and should be preserved for its original intent – to be enjoyed for the local community – once it is gone it is gone forever. Blocks are getting smaller, houses more expensive, families NEED to have access to these open spaces. The unstructured nature of Harbourview Reserve creates opportunities for physical activity and social connections to be made and sustained throughout the week and particularly on weekends when sporting grounds are often being used for organised sport. Council’s information package indicates that it has invested in upgrades of other reserves in proximity to Harbourview Reserve, however the closest of these is Heritage Walk (450m approx) which doesn’t provide the types of	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		opportunities described above – and other reserves require crossing either Flinders Highway or New West Road which means they aren't as safe and 'walkable' for many nearby residents (especially given the hilly nature of the surrounding area). This would appear contrary to the objective of creating more active communities through the distribution and use of open space in the council area. While Train Reserve doesn't require crossing a main road, it is situated on a main road, making it less safe for kicking footballs, for older children to run around, or for dog exercise. With respect to the potential use of Harbourview Reserve as a retirement village or aged care facility, I have more specific concerns, mainly around accessibility and traffic management. The streets surrounding the reserves are not wide, and accessing the site with emergency and service vehicles could be problematic and make the surrounding streets less safe for residents. The intersection of Highview Drive, Ocean Avenue and Paringa Avenue has poor visibility and would – presumably – be the fastest access for ambulance and / other emergency service vehicles which we could expect to increase in frequency with the development of retirement or aged care facilities on the site. In the event that the site was turned over to aged care, we would expect to experience higher numbers of service vehicles (eg laundry, cleaners, food services, etc) and staff, while even retirement living would bring with it an increase in services and visitors, creating much higher levels of traffic in the area. Our property has a frontage onto Highview Drive which, as you would probably be aware, is a reasonably narrow curved road, particularly at the Harbourview Reserve end. When there is a vehicle parked on either side of the road it is really only wide enough for one vehicle to get through which – combined with some steep driveways – can make visibility poor along the street. I am concerned that additional traffic would compound this problem. As you would be aware, the area surrounding Harbourview Reserve is quite hilly – even for a person of moderate fitness, some of the roads are quite steep and may limit the ability for older	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		persons who may rely on gophers or other mobility aids to maintain a sense of independence and navigate the surrounding area safely – especially given the matters raised in the previous two paragraphs. Council's Q&A information sheet indicates that, as part of a planning and assessment process, a traffic management assessment would typically be required to evaluate potential impacts on local roads, intersections and parking availability. I would respectfully suggest that by that time it would be too late, and that a traffic management assessment be undertaken prior to the proposal for Community Land revocation proceeding, I would further suggest that assessment be made available for community feedback. With regard to aged care facilities, the ability for people to 'age in place' has been noted in the City of Port Lincoln's 'Empowering our Elders' strategy, as a means of maintaining social connections. Just as it is important to consider the spread of recreational facilities across the City of Port Lincoln, I would encourage Council to take the same approach in its planning for aged care. Currently the main locations for assisted living are Pioneer Village on Flinders Highway, Matthew Flinders on Oxford Terrace and Lincoln Grove on Marine Avenue. For those who have lived most of their lives in Lincoln South or Kirton Point and whose ability to move around in the community becomes more difficult as they age, it may be worth considering the opportunities to zone for retirement living/ aged care in those areas to support our elder population to sustain their social connections within those communities. Consideration might also be given to land that is relatively flat, thus encouraging mobility in elder residents. This obviously needs to be part of a broader approach of ensuring that existing aged care facilities are fully utilised, and that we are doing all that we can as a community to attract and retain aged care and ancillary workers to the region. I refer you also to my separate, confidential correspondence that I request not be made publicly available or disclosed as part of any Freedom of Information request. I will email that to the ceo@plcc.sa.gov.au email address only	

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
57	Revocation of Harbour View Park	To the City of Port Lincoln Council & SA Minister for Local Government As a lifelong resident and local business owner in Port Lincoln, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed revocation of Harbour View Park and other community parklands. These green spaces are not just patches of grass — they are vital to our town’s identity, health, and social fabric. To consider selling them off for development is not only short-sighted but deeply disappointing. It sends a message that convenience and financial gain are being prioritised over long-term community wellbeing. Council has long upheld an “open space strategy,” a principle echoed by local governments across the country. To abandon this strategy now undermines public trust and suggests a worrying shift towards expediency rather than thoughtful planning. The perception that council is opting for the "easy sell" — sacrificing well-loved public spaces to developers — is growing, and this proposal only reinforces that image. While I understand the need to plan for future growth, including educational and aged care facilities, this should never come at the cost of our community’s green spaces. Once gone, they’re gone forever. Surely, there are alternative sites in town — underutilised or privately/government-owned land — that could be explored with greater creativity and determination. I urge the council to reconsider this proposal and demonstrate leadership that values sustainable, inclusive, and community-focused development. These parks belong to all of us — not just for now, but for generations to come.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
58	Proposed revocation of community land status	To Whom It May Concern I writing to submit my objection to the proposed revocation of community land in Port Lincoln, particularly that of Harbourview Reserve but also other open spaces that have been mentioned in this proposal. The reasons for the proposed revocation are important BUT do not outweigh the absolute importance of open green spaces in our communities. Council would have to change its own bylaws which mention the importance of close proximity to open green spaces to all community members. It is important to acknowledge that some of these open spaces have been donated to the people of Port Lincoln for the use of further generations. It looks to me council is more concerned about revenue raising than thinking of realistic solutions to providing future aged care, retirement living or childcare spaces. These issues are not for local government to solve at the expense of the generosity of past residents and/or developers. They are also not 'core business' for council but maintaining our parks is. It is undeniable that the world is warming therefore is it vitally important that we preserve current parks and open space and vehemently protest against this type of dense urban development. This council does not have a mandate to make this change which cannot be reversed once development has occurred. If Council must pursue this issue, make it an election issue for the whole community to vote on. It won't pass 'the pub test'. Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion.	-
59	Community Land	I have a young family and work long hours so don't get much time for anything else. I understand that the closing date for passing in a submission was yesterday but I haven't had a chance until now.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Having open spaces for people to recreate, exercise, be in nature, walk their dog among many other pursuits is crucial to a healthy population. These five parcels of land should all remain open spaces. I'm disappointed this has even been raised as a potential option. Open spaces and parks should never be developed especially when they have been donated or bequeathed to remain as open space. Similarly where the land has been put aside as open space because there is development happening nearby it needs to remain as open space. Think back very recently to the covid pandemic and how important these spaces were for people's physical and mental health. Please for the sake of the community, people's health, recreation and a vibrant functioning rural city do not develop any of these green spaces. • Harbourview Reserve – Highview Drive • 10 Oswald Drive • Seaview Park – Monalena Street • 25 Chapman Street • Trigg Street Reserve - Willison Street I will be watching closely to see council's decision as will many others.	
60	Land Revocation	I am not in support of the proposed land provocation listed on Port Lincoln council website. Green space is vital for urban cooling and mental health.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		Maintenance cost should not be a reason council wish to sell of this land. Also mention that these areas are not used which is another sad reason to sell them off. Trees and green space provide habitat for us and other life forms to enjoy as needed. Please don't sell of our beautiful green spaces, its makes our Port Lincoln beautiful. And there are lots of areas of land already for sale that the council could buy.	
61	Harbourview Reserve	Please find attached our written submission regarding the Proposal for Revocation of Community Land.	Refer Attachment 17
62	Submission - Proposal for Revocation of Community Land	As someone who nearly didn't move to Port Lincoln because of the lack of childcare available and rental home options, I think the re-use of these parcels of land is a fantastic idea.	-
63	WCYCS Submission on the Proposed Revocation of Community Land From: West Coast Youth and Community Support (WCYCS) Date: 04 June 2025 Affordable Housing – The Need for Equity and	See attached submission.	Refer Attachment 18

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
	Integration The shortage of affordable housing is having a significant imp		
64	Revocation of Community Land	We are currently travelling interstate. Before we left i emailed the Mayoress and every single councillor with my views. Only 2 had the decency to respond. My husband and i especially totally against the revocation of community land and want Harbourview Reserve Highview Drive left as is for the community to use. This along with other parks/ land council is trying to sell off for whatever reason. Please find my email- i could list other land around Port Lincoln that lies ugly and dormant which would be quite an enterprise for further planning. I vote NO to this proposal.	-
	Revocation of Community Land	Attention Brad Tolley My husband and myself, as ratepayers of Port Lincoln would like to put forward we are AGAINST councils proposals to revoke the classification of council owned sites - marked as surplus to councils needs. We would like it noted the whole plan is NOT in the interests of our city and its residents. Neither are you allowing enough consultation. We do not want these parks/ reserves turned into housing, aged care or community services as you've stated. Which, i might add there are no proposals, plans or costings for any of these. We agree these services are needed but just revoking and changing the classification is not the way, without future plans, budgets etc.	-

Respondent	Subject	Description	Attachments
		There are a number of sites in and around PL -that could be looked into- the rail yards and land along Le Brun Street and Porter Street for one. I don't intend listing more here- but ask your constituents for more ideas. My husband and i cannot attend any public forums as we will be travelling for an extended period of time. I urge you all to take note of the community's response - especially on social media. I have encouraged people to contact you as i am in person. Please think again, or give us some more details.	
65	Revocation of Community Land	Refer Attachment	Refer Attachment 19